1993-94 and 1994-95 for implementing Small Hydel Power Projects; and

(b) the amount of funds sanctioned by the Planning Commission during 1993-94 and 1994-95 for implementing these projects in Madhya Pradesh ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO) : (a) The Central Government has been normally providing block Plan assistance to the States for their Plans according to formula approved by National Development Council. The assistance is not provided for specific sectors/projects/scliemes, unless, specified and allocated under the criterion "Special Problems" indicated by the States.

(b) The approved outlay for implementing small hydel power projects (more than 2 MW and upto 15 MW) in Madhya Pradesh for the year 1993-94 is Rs. 115 lakhs. The outlays for 1994-95 are yet to be approved.

लघु उद्योगों के विकास के लिए उत्तर प्रदेश को निधियों का आबंटन

1971. श्री ईश दत्त यादव : क्या योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वियन मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या उत्तर प्रदेश को लघु उद्योगों के विकास हेतु और अधिक धनराशि आबंटित किये जाने का कोई प्रस्ताव है;

(ख) यदि हां, तो तत्संबंधी ब्यौरा क्या है;

(ग) चालू पंचवर्षीय योजना के दौरान इस प्रयोजनार्थ कुल कितनी धनराशि आबंटित की गई है; और

(घ) पिछली पंचवर्षीय योजना की तुलना में इस पंचवर्षीय योजना के दौरान आबंटित की गई धनराशि कितनी कम है ?

योजना और कार्यक्रम कार्यान्वियन मंत्रालय के राज्य मंत्री (श्री गिरिधर गोमांगो) : (क) उत्तर प्रदेश सरकार का लघु उद्योगों के विकास हेतु अधिक निधि के आबंटन के लिए कोई विशिष्ट प्रस्ताव नहीं है।

(ख) प्रश्न नहीं उठता।

(ग) और (घ) उत्तर प्रदेश के ग्राम और लघु उद्योगों के लिए आठवीं योजना परिव्यय 261.20 करोड़ रुपये है, जो सातवीं योजना के 171.59 करोड़ रुपये के परिव्यय से अधिक है।

Mukherjee Formula on Central Assistance to States

1972. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be please to state :

(a) whether Central assistance to States is being granted on the basis of Mukherjee Formula;

(b) if so, the difference between the revised Gadgil Formula and Mukherjee For-' mula;

(c) the central assistance granted to States during the last two year; and

(d) whether the State Government are satisfied with the Mukherjee Formula ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO) : (a) Yes. Sir.

(b) The. difference between the Modified Gadgil Formula (1980) and Mukherjee Formula as approved by the National Deve-j lopment Council (1991) is brought out in the Statement-I attached *(see* below).

(c) The Central (formula based) assistance allocated to the fifteen non-Special Category States during last two years 1991-92 and 1992-93 is shown in the Statement-II attached, *(see* below).

(d) No representations on the present Formula have so far received from -the concerned States. -

Statement I

Criteria and Weightage under Modified Gadgil Formula and Mukherjee Formula for Allocation of Central Assistance for States' Pan.

(Weightage %)

Criteria	Modified Gad-gil Formula (1990)	Mukherjee Formula (1991)
A. Special Category States*	30% share of the states	30% share of the States
B. Non-Special Category States**		
	30.00	60.00
(ii) Per Capita Income of which .	20.00	25.00
(a) According to the deviation method covering only the States with per Captia income below the national average	20.00	20.00
(b) According to the distance method covering all the States	0.0	5.00
(iii) Performance of which	10.00	7.5
(a) Tax effort.....	10.00	2.5
(b) Fiscal management	0.00	2.0
(c) National objectives	0.00	3.0
(1) Population control	0.00	1.0
(2) Elimination of female illiteracy	0.00	1.0
(3) On-time completion of externally aided projects	0.00	0.5
(4) Success in laud reforms .	0.00	0.5
	10.00	7.5
	100.00	100.0

Presently in the pattern of 90% grant and 10% Loan.
•Presently in the pattern of 30% grant and 70% loan.

Statement IT

GROSS *Allocation of Central Asststance to non-special category States for '* 1991 92 *and* 1993-94

(Rs. crores)

State	1991-92	1992-93
1	2	3
1. Andhra Pradesh	534.36	552.50
2. Bihar	772.31	776.31
3. Goa	42.00	42.00*
4. Gujarat	229.23	245.72
5. Haryana	113.11	121.37
6. Karnataka	265.25	265.34
7. Kerala	296.00	324.33
8. Madhya Pradesh	493.30	493.30
9. Maharashtra .	375.31	434.24
10. Orissa	340.55	339.90
11. Punjab .	164.57	162.92
12. Rajasthan	385.81	385.76
13. Tamil Nadu	460.00	515.92
14. Uttar Pradesh .	1081.50	1142.71
15. West Bengal	379.35	425.72
TOTAL	5932.65	6228.04

©Included additionalities provided during the course of the year(s). +Excluded Rs. 23 crores of arrears for 1991-92. *Excluded Rs. 10 crores for Assembly building as one time assistance.

Proposal *to* Scrap Projects having Abnormal Cost Overruns

1973. SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM : Will the-Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a proposl or re commendation to scrap projects having ab normal cost overruns;

(b) if so, the details of such projects;

(c) whether, the Ministry recommends the total liquidation of some projects; and

id) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) Depart-ment of Programme Implementation has no: proposed or recommended to scrap projects having abnormal cost overrun.

(b) The question does not arise.

(c) The Department of Programme Implementation does not recommend liquidation of any project.

(d) The question does not arise.

Additional Employment Opportunities in the Country . .

1974. SHRI ASHOK MITRA : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state :

(a) whether Government have informed the State Governments that additional